RBC ECONOMIC IMPACT STUDY

RMHC SOUTHWESTERN ONTARIO: 2018 UPDATE



Ronald McDonald House Charities[®] CANADA



RMHC SOUTHWESTERN ONTARIO HELPED FAMILIES TO SAVE ~ \$4.3 MILLION IN 2018



Annually, the Ronald McDonald House in London saves families over \$3.8 million through 13,728 nights of comfort

		¶l¥		Total
179		2 041		<u>6 220</u>
, 170		920		5 250
876		382		2 258
,385		3,343		13,728
				•
S:				Ĩť
	\$	263	\$	225
	\$	297	\$	256
	\$	332	\$	289
Burden ² :				
	\$	1,843	\$	1,576
	\$	2,076	\$	1,789
	\$	2,325	\$	2,023
Savings ³ :				
	\$	11,057	\$	9,456
	\$	12,459	\$	10,737
	\$	13,951	\$	12,140
	• 	,	•	
\$3,812,85	6			
\$362.845				

- **s:** \$174,020
 - \$4,349,721



^{1.} Based on the total nights of comfort provided by RMH London and the estimated demographic profile

^{2.} Based on the RMH London's average length of stay of **7 days.**

RMHC SOUTHWESTERN ONTARIO HELPED FAMILIES TO SAVE ~ \$4.3 MILLION IN 2018



Annually, the Ronald McDonald House in Windsor saves families over \$360,000 through 1,588 nights of comfort

483 236 719 501 107 608 217 44 261 201 387 $1,58$ s: \$ 219 \$ 196 \$ 240 \$ 215 \$ 263 \$ 236 Burden ² : \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 Savings ³ : \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249			ΪŤ		Total
501 107 608 217 44 261 201 387 1,58 \$ 219 \$ \$ 240 \$ \$ 240 \$ \$ 263 \$ \$ 263 \$ Burden2: \$ 2,629 \$ 2,629 \$ \$ 2,629 \$ \$ 2,629 \$ \$ 2,881 \$ \$ 3,158 \$ \$ 3,158 \$ \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249	183		236		719
217 44 261 201 387 1,58 s: \$ 219 \$ 196 \$ 240 \$ 215 \$ 263 \$ 236 Burden2: \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 Savings3: \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249	501		107		608
201 387 1,58 s: \$ 219 \$ 196 \$ 240 \$ 215 \$ 263 \$ 236 Burden ² : \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 Savings ³ : \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249	217		44		261
s: \$ 219 \$ 240 \$ 240 \$ 263 \$ 263 \$ 263 \$ 236 Burden ² : \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 \$	201		387		1,588
Image: Image: \$ 219 \$ 196 \$ 240 \$ 215 \$ 263 \$ 236 Burden ² : \$ 2,629 \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 Savings ³ : \$ 9,200					İ.
\$ 219 \$ 196 \$ 240 \$ 215 \$ 263 \$ 236 Burden ² : \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 Savings ³ : \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249	5:	•	ΠΑΠ	•	
\$ 240 \$ 215 \$ 263 \$ 236 Burden ² : \$ 2,629 \$ 2,357 \$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 \$ 2,826 \$ 2,826		\$	219	\$	196
\$263 \$236 Burden²: \$2,629 \$2,357 \$2,881 \$2,574 \$3,158 \$2,826 avings³: \$9,200 \$8,249		\$	240	\$	215
\$2,629 \$2,357 \$2,881 \$2,574 \$3,158 \$2,826 avings ³ : \$9,200 \$8,249	Burden ² :	\$	263	\$	236
\$ 2,881 \$ 2,574 \$ 3,158 \$ 2,826 avings ³ : \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249		\$	2,629	\$	2,357
avings ³ : \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249		\$	2,881	\$	2,574
avings ³ : \$ 9,200 \$ 8,249		\$	3,158	\$	2,826
\$ 9,200 \$ 8,249	avings³:				
		\$	9,200	\$	8,249
\$ 10,082 \$ \$ 9,010		\$	10,082	\$	9,010
\$ 11,054 \$ 9,893		\$	11,054	\$	9,893

- **5:** \$174,020
 - \$4,349,721



^{1.} Based on the total nights of comfort provided by RMH Windsor and the estimated demographic profile

^{2.} Based on the RMH Windsor's average length of stay of **12 days**.

Key Assumptions:

therefore, underestimates the financial impact of a child's illness.

- than commuting or staying with a friend).
- 3. a child's illness.
- 4.

The model assumes that a family's income remains stable during a child's illness. It is likely that most families experience income loss (due to time away from work). As a result, the model likely overestimates income and

If a family is not staying at the Ronald McDonald House in a particular city, they will be staying at a local hotel (rather

The model assumes that each family is driving (the minimum required distance) from each house and making one round-trip per visit to an RMH. It is likely that some families incur greater travel costs than the model predicts (such as the cost to travel more than the minimum required distance or alternative travel methods such as buses, trains and planes). As a result, the model likely underestimates travel costs and therefore, underestimates the financial impact of

The family room calculation only considers family savings derived from the private sleep rooms. There are additional benefits such as sleep pods, sleep chairs, couches, general lounge access benefits, etc. which have not been accounted for. As a result, the model likely underestimates the family savings provided by the family rooms.



